1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS AND SERVICES STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. HHM 2022-292
13	SANNAH VAN LINES, INC.; IBRAHIM KHALIFAH	OAH No.
14	1130 N. Kraemer Blvd., Ste. G Orange, CA 92806	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15 16	Household Mover Permit No. CAL-T 0192287	[Gov. Code, §11520]
17	Respondent.	
18		
19		•
20	FINDINGS OF FACT	
21	1. On October 2, 2024, Complainant Justin Paddock, in his official capacity as the	
22	Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Household Goods and Services (Bureau), Department of	
23	Consumer Affairs (Department), State of California, filed Accusation No. HHM 2022-292 against	
24	Sannah Van Lines, Inc. (Respondent SVL); Ibrahim Khalifah, Chief Executive Officer	
25	(Respondent Khalifah) before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director). (Accusation attached	
26	as Exhibit A.)	
27	///	
28	///	1
1	I .	

- On January 27, 2021, the Bureau issued Household Mover Permit No. CAL-T
 0192287 to Respondent. On January 13, 2013, the Bureau suspended Respondent SVL's permit,
 and it remains suspended.
- 3. On October 3, 2024, Complainant served Respondents SVL and Khalifah by Certified and First Class Mail with copies of the: Accusation No. HHM 2022-292, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7); at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is 1130 N. Kraemer Blvd., Ste. G, Orange, CA 92806.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondents failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. HHM 2022-292.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. HHM 2022-292, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. The Director finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$11,651.00 as of October 30, 2024.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact Respondents SVL and Khalifah, have subjected Respondent SVL's Household Mover Permit No. CAL-T 0192287 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Director is authorized to revoke Respondent SVL's Household Mover Permit based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
 - a. Failure to have a valid permit (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (b); 19237, subd.(a)(1); and 19279.1;
 - b. Unlawful advertisements (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (b); and 19279.3;
 - c. Failure to maintain workers' compensation insurance for employees (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (b); 19239.1, subd. (a) and (d);
 - d. Aiding and abetting violation of Household Movers Act (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19277, subd. (a); 19279, and 19279.2;
 - e. Intentional dishonesty for personal gain (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (d);
 - f. Displaying suspended permit (Bus. & Prof. Code § 119 (a)(1);
 - g. Failure to provide link to Bureau Website (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (b); and 19247; and
 - h. Failure to pay fees (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19275, subd. (b); 19288, subd. (a) and (b)(2); and 19288.
- 4. The Director affirms the citations and imposes fines against Respondent SVL in accordance with Business and Professions Code sections 19281 (separate and distinct offenses) and 19282 (cumulative remedies and penalties). The Director finds Respondent SVL engaged in continuing violations of the Household Movers Act for 31 days between January 13, 2023, and February 14, 2023. Each day's continuing violation is a separate and distinct offense subject to the statutory maximum fines. Respondent SVL is liable for the following fines alleged in the